

Appln. No. 09/982,141
Amd. dated March 5, 2004
Reply to Communication of February 5, 2004

REMARKS


The allowance of claims 7-11 is noted with appreciation.

In reviewing the claims, it was noted that claim 8 included the term "objects" for which there was no antecedent basis. Accordingly, by the present amendment, claim 8 has been amended to refer to "said product" for which antecedent basis does exist.

With regard to the existing restriction requirement and in specific response to the communication of February 5, 2004, submitted herewith is a petition under 37 CFR § 1.144, requesting withdrawal of that requirement. Action on that petition is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By 
Jay M. Finkelstein
Registration No. 21,082

JMF:mch
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\L\lav1\Congard2\PTO\AMD 05 MAR 04.doc